Structure of Hazelwoodite (Ni_3S_2)

By JOHN B. PARISE

Department of Geology, PO James Cook University, Townsville, Australia 4811

(Received 7 December 1979; accepted 28 January 1980)

Abstract. Synthetic Ni_3S_2 , trigonal, R32, a =4.0718 (6) Å, $\alpha = 89.459$ (9)°, $Z = 1, D_x = 3.71$ Mg m⁻³. For 130 unique intensities R = 0.036. Short Ni-Ni distances within and between Ni₃ triangles in the structure are 2.5319 (9) and 2.4966 (4) Å respectively. The two unique Ni–S distances are 2.2534(5)and 2.2914 (5) Å.

confirmation Despite qualitative Introduction. (Peacock, 1947) and quantitative refinement from powder X-ray data (Fleet, 1977), some authors have questioned the correctness of the structure of hazelwoodite (Ni₂S₂) originally proposed by Westgren (1938). This is due to the compound's failure to agree with the predictions of the valence rules of Hulligar (1968) and Pearson (1972), which has prompted Prewitt & Rajamani (1974) and, more recently, Vaughan & Craig (1978) to suggest the structure may be incorrect.

However, because of a lack of suitable material, it has not been possible to undertake a precise study of hazelwoodite. As part of a more general study of the structural details of the phases in the Ni-S system, single crystals were grown in order to refine the structure of Ni₃S₂.

A stoichiometric mixture of nickel, which had previously been reduced at 873 K in a stream of hydrogen gas for 2 h, and sulphur was heated at 1033 K for 3 d in an evacuated silica-glass capsule. After quenching in iced water, the material was crushed and several small chips were separated by sieving. These were investigated by standard X-ray photographic techniques. Although many of the specimens were found to be multiple crystals, some were single and showed the expected 3m Laue symmetry and systematic extinction of reflexions consistent with the space group R32.

One of these fragments, a regular prism $56 \times 90 \times$ 82 um, was chosen for data collection. It was mounted in a random orientation on the Australian Atomic Energy Commission's four-circle X-ray diffractometer. 1575 reflexions were collected with the following experimental conditions: unfiltered Mo radiation, hyper-pure *n*-type Si solid-state detector, $\omega - 2\theta$ stepscan technique, $5^{\circ} < 2\theta < 70^{\circ}$.

The data were corrected for systematic variation in the X-ray-beam intensity, absorption ($\mu = 21 \cdot 2 \text{ mm}^{-1}$), Lorentz and polarization effects. Symmetry-related intensities were averaged. The final data set contained 130 independent reflexions. All data, including those with negative intensity, were used in the subsequent refinement.

The structural parameters given by Fleet (1977) were refined with LINUS which minimizes $\sum w(|F_o^2| |F_c^2|$, where $w^{-1} = \sigma^2(F_c^2)$. The final agreement factors for the refinement, excluding the two strongly extinction-affected intensities 111 and 211, are summarized in Table 1.* The exclusion of these two reflexions made no significant difference to the refined atomic parameters, which are presented in Table 2. Neutral-atom scattering factors were taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974).

Discussion. The cell dimensions were determined by a least-squares procedure from 13 high-angle ($40 < 2\theta <$ 60°) reflexions. Mo $K\alpha_1$ ($\lambda = 0.7093$ Å) radiation was used. The results of the calculation of interatomic distances and angles are presented in Table 3.

The present refinement confirms the structure proposed by Westgren (1938) and Fleet (1977). However, it does differ from those investigations in the distribution of Ni-Ni and Ni-S distances.

Ni atoms occupy the distorted tetrahedral interstices of a slightly distorted body-centred cubic lattice (Fig. 1). The configuration of these occupied tetrahedral sites

Table 1. Final agreement factors

$R(F_{\rho}^{2}) = \sum F_{\rho}^{2} - F_{\rho}^{2} / \sum F_{\rho}^{2} $	0.053
$R_{w}(F_{o}^{2}) = \left[\sum w(F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2} / \sum w F_{o}^{4} \right]^{1/2}$	0.052
$R(F_{o}) = \sum F_{o} - F_{c} / \sum F_{o} $	0.037
$S = \left[\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 / (m-n)\right]^{1/2}$	1.133
(where $m =$ number of observations,	
n = number of parameters).	

0567-7408/80/051179-02\$01.00 © 1980 International Union of Crystallography

^{*} A list of structure factors has been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 35066 (2 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

Table 2. Final fractional atomic coordinates and anisotropic thermal parameters $(\times 10^4)$, with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

Anisotropic temperature factors are defined as: $T = \exp\left[-(h^2\beta_{11} + k^2\beta_{22} + l^2\beta_{33} + 2hk\beta_{12} + 2hl\beta_{13} + 2kl\beta_{23})\right].$

		x	У	Z	β_{11}	β_{22}	β_{33}	β_{12}	β_{13}	β_{23}
Ni	(a)	12	0.2449 (2)	- <i>y</i>	162 (6)	124 (4)	β_{22}	2 (2)	β_{12}	4 (3)
S	(a)	0.2521 (2)	x	x	138 (5)	β_{11}	β_{11}	8 (4)	β_{12}	β_{12}

is such that the Ni atoms are no more than 2.53 Å apart.

Hazelwoodite consists of a series of triangular bipyramids (Fig. 1), with an Ni₃ triangle and S atoms at their apices. These Ni₃S₂ units are interconnected through short Ni–S and Ni–Ni distances, the distances between the bipyramids being shorter than those within them. The Ni–Ni and Ni–S distances within the Ni₃S₂ unit are 2.5319 (9) and 2.2914 (5) Å, whilst between them these distances are 2.4966 (4) and 2.2534 (5) Å respectively. This arrangement suggests some degree of repulsion between atoms in the Ni₃ triangles.

Several authors have argued that short metal-metal distances in metal-rich chalcogenides are indicative of metal-metal bonding, which gives rise to the stability of these materials. The present refinement confirms the

Table 3. Distances (Å) and angles (°) in Ni_3S_2

E.s.d.'s are in parentheses.

Atomic positions

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ni(7) x S(1) x S(2) x S(3) $1-y$ S(4) $1-y$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Ni(1) - S(1)	2.2534 (5)	S(1)-Ni(1)-S(2)	127.25 (4)
Ni(1) - S(2)	$2 \cdot 2914(5)$	S(1)-Ni(1)-S(3)	100.92 (2)
Ni(1) - S(3)	2.2914(5)	S(1) - Ni(1) - S(4)	102.94 (2)
Ni(1) - S(4)	2.2534(5)	S(2) - Ni(1) - S(3)	100.72 (2)
		Ni(2) - Ni(1) - Ni(3)	60.00
		Ni(2) - Ni(1) - Ni(4)	98.95(1)
		Ni(2)-Ni(1)-Ni(5)	108.13 (2)
Ni(1)-Ni(2)	2.5319 (9)	Ni(3)-Ni(1)-Ni(4)	108.13 (2)
Ni(1) - Ni(3)	2.5319 (9)	Ni(4)-Ni(1)-Ni(5)	148.73 (3)
Ni(1)-Ni(4)	2.4966 (4)	S(2) - Ni(1) - Ni(4)	55.950 (6)
Ni(1)-Ni(5)	2.4966 (4)	S(1) - Ni(1) - Ni(4)	101.71(1)
		S(1) - Ni(1) - Ni(3)	99.93 (3)
		S(1) - Ni(1) - Ni(2)	155.04 (3)
		S(4) - Ni(1) - Ni(4)	57.41 (3)
S(1) - S(2)	4.0718 (6)	Ni(5)-S(2)-Ni(6)	67.07 (3)
S(1) - S(3)	3.5051(11)	Ni(1)-S(1)-Ni(6)	114.51 (3)
S(1) - S(4)	3.5255 (4)	Ni(1)-S(1)-Ni(7)	127.25 (4)
S(2) - S(3)	3.5291 (11)	Ni(1)-S(1)-Ni(5)	66.64 (2)



Fig. 1. ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) drawing of Ni₃S₂. Labels refer to Table 3. The thick lines outline the Ni₃S₂ units (see text).

presence in hazelwoodite of four short Ni–Ni distances, close to the Ni–Ni distance in metallic nickel (2.49 Å). This suggests that metal–metal interactions are important in stabilizing the structure.

I acknowledge the tenure of a studentship provided by the Australian Institute of Nuclear Science and Engineering and help from Drs C. Cuff, F. H. Moore and C. J. Howard, with both data collection and the writing of the manuscript.

References

FLEET, M. E. (1977). Am. Mineral. 62, 341-345.

HULLIGAR, F. (1968). Struct. Bonding (Berlin), 4, 83-229.

- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.
- PEACOCK, M. A. (1947) Univ. Toronto Stud. Geol. Ser. 51, 59–69.
- PEARSON, W. B. (1972). The Crystal Chemistry and Physics of Metals and Alloys. New York: Wiley-Interscience.
- PREWITT, C. T. & RAJAMANI, V. (1974). Sulfide Mineralogy, Mineralogical Society of America Short Course Notes. Vol. 1, ch. 3, edited by P. H. RIBBE. Washington: Mineralogical Society of America.
- VAUGHAN, C. J. & CRAIG, J. R. (1978). Mineral Chemistry of Metal Sulfides, pp. 160–161. Cambridge Univ. Press.
- WESTGREN, A. (1938). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 239, 82-84.